UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,845	03/12/2004	Klaus Lidolt	03100199AA	5020	
	7590 12/21/201 URTIS & CHRISTOFI	1 FERSON & COOK, P.C.	EXAM	IINER	
11491 SUNSET	11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			JACKSON, BRANDON LEE	
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3772		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2011	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/798,845	LIDOLT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BRANDON JACKSON	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No	ovember 2011.						
,	action is non-final.						
3) An election was made by the applicant in response		set forth during th	e interview on				
; the restriction requirement and election	·	-					
4) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· ·		e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) 3-15 and 17-18 is/are objected to.							
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	,						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(-) - ()					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to amendments/arguments filed 11/22/2011.

Claims 1 and 3-18 are pending in the instant application.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/22/2011 has been entered.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues one would not connect the *Nijenbanning* Bowden cable with the control system of the Woo mechanism absent hindsight. However, *Nijenbanning* and *Woo* both teach controllers for locking devices, which makes them analogous pieces of earth. Therefore, it is not hindsight for the *Nijenbanning* controller to be modified with the features taught by *Woo* that are advantageous to locking device controllers.

Applicant argues the controller taught by *Nijenbanning* is not electromechanical, but mechanical because *Nijenbanning* teaches the displacement mechanism of the

locking device may be operated by the user's hand (col. 6, lines 33-35). However, when *Nijenbanning* teaches the locking device may be operated by hand, *Nijenbanning* is describing operating the controller by hand. The user maneuvers the controller (20) by hand, and the signals from the controller (20) are delivered to the locking device via the cable (21). Therefore the controller (20) must be electromechanical in order to function.

Applicant's argument that *Woo* fails to teach the signaling of the automatic detection of the locking state is persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn. However, Applicant failed to disclose this feature in Applicant's original disclosure, therefore, it is new matter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's Specification fails to disclose the signaling arrangement emits a signal, responsive to the means for automatically detecting the locking state.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-15 and 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDON JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-3414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571)272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brandon Jackson/

Application/Control Number: 10/798,845 Page 5

Art Unit: 3772

Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/BLJ/

/Michael Brown/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3772